

# THE INTERNATIONAL LIVER CONGRESS™



## Comparative diagnostic accuracy of blood-based biomarkers for diagnosing NASH: phase 1 results of the LITMUS project



§Y. VALI<sup>1</sup>, §J. LEE<sup>1</sup>, J. M. SCHATTENBERG<sup>2</sup>, M. ROMERO-GOMEZ<sup>3</sup>, DINA TINIAKOS<sup>4</sup>, PIERRE BEDOSSA<sup>4</sup>, M. J. BROSAN<sup>5</sup>, K. DUFFIN<sup>6</sup>, R. TORSTENSON<sup>7</sup>, C. BRASS<sup>8</sup>, M. ALLISON<sup>9</sup>, H. CORTEZ-PINTO<sup>10</sup>, J.F. DUFOR<sup>11</sup>, M. EKSTEDT<sup>12</sup>, S. FRANQUE<sup>13</sup>, A. GEIER<sup>14</sup>, S. HARRISON<sup>15</sup>, M. KARSDAL<sup>16</sup>, D. J. LEEMING<sup>16</sup>, G. PAPTAEODORIDIS<sup>17</sup>, M. PAVLIDES<sup>15</sup>, J. BOURSIER<sup>18</sup>, S. PETTA<sup>19</sup>, E. BUGIANESI<sup>20</sup>, V. RATZIU<sup>21</sup>, \*Q. M. ANSTEE<sup>4</sup>, \*P. M. BOSSUYT<sup>1</sup> on behalf of the litmus consortium § Joint First Authors, \* Joint Senior Authors



<sup>1</sup> Department of Epidemiology and Data Science, Amsterdam UMC, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

<sup>4</sup> Translational and Clinical Research Institute, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom.

### 1 Introduction

The presence of active steatohepatitis (NASH) is a regulatory requirement for NAFLD trial recruitment and an important severity indicator in clinical care. There is a pressing need for robust, non-invasive tests (NITs) to discriminate simple steatosis from NASH, but most evaluations have been non-comparative and performed in small groups.<sup>(1,2)</sup>

### 2 Aim

The LITMUS project independently assessed NITs that, singly or in combination, would enable detection of NASH.

### 3 Method

- Thirteen NITs, were evaluated against histology to identify NASH (NAS  $\geq$  4 with  $\geq$ 1 point in each component).
- The area under the receiver operating curve (AUC)  $\pm$  95% confidence interval (95% CI) were calculated for each NIT.
- Due to the absence of any existing validated NIT for NASH and the high collinearity between NASH and fibrosis stage, FIB-4, a widely used simple fibrosis test, was adopted as a comparator in the same subgroup of patients for whom biomarker results were available.

### 5 Conclusions

Fibrosis targeted biomarkers and single biomarkers showed limited performance in detecting NASH. However, combinations produced more promising results. Among these, the SomaScan algorithm, a novel proteomics panel specifically developed to detect NASH, and ADAPT significantly outperformed FIB-4. Validation in an expanded cohort is underway

### 6 Acknowledgements

The LITMUS project has received funding from the Innovative Medicines Initiative 2 Joint Undertaking under grant agreement No. 777377. This Joint Undertaking receives support from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme and EFPIA.

### 7 References

- 1 **Rinella ME et al.** Report on the AASLD/EASL joint workshop on clinical trial endpoints in NAFLD. *Journal of hepatology*. 2019; 71(4):823-33
- 2 **Shen J et al.** Non-invasive diagnosis of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis by combined serum biomarkers. *Journal of Hepatology*. 2012; 56 (6):1363–70.

### 4 Results

- Data from 720 participants from nine European centers were included.
- Mean age 50 years; 57% male; 36% type2 diabetics; mean BMI 35. Histological evidence of NASH was present in 53%.
- AUCs ranged from 0.51 to 0.78, with some marker combinations showing higher AUCs than single markers (Table 1).
- The SomaScan™ algorithm showed the highest AUC (0.78) followed by ADAPT (0.68), better than FIB-4 (p<0.05).

Table 1: Diagnostic accuracy of markers in detecting active NASH vs. FIB-4

NIT	n	AUC (95% CI)	
		NIT	FIB-4
CK-18 (M30)	593	0.66 (0.62-0.71)	0.62 (0.57-0.66)
CK-18 (M65)	612	0.65 (0.61-0.70)	0.61 (0.57-0.66)
PRO-C3	444	0.64 (0.59-0.69)	0.62 (0.57-0.68)
PRO-C4	391	0.62 (0.54-0.69)	0.61 (0.54-0.68)
ADAPT	444	0.68 (0.63-0.73)	0.62 (0.57-0.68)
FIB-4	440	0.65 (0.59-0.70)	0.63 (0.58-0.68)
ABC3D	440	0.64 (0.59-0.70)	0.63 (0.58-0.68)
DIAPIR	288	0.66 (0.58-0.74)	0.60 (0.53-0.67)
MACK-3	400	0.65 (0.59-0.70)	0.59 (0.53-0.65)
GLP (fibrosis)	323	0.51 (0.44-0.57)	0.57 (0.51-0.64)
SomaScan	267	0.78 (0.73-0.84)	0.61 (0.55-0.68)
ELF	695	0.62 (0.57-0.66)	0.59 (0.55-0.63)
NFS	695	0.57 (0.53-0.62)	0.59 (0.55-0.63)

### 8 Contact information

Yasaman Vali

Epidemiology and Data Science, Amsterdam UMC, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

Email: y.vali@amsterdamumc.nl